

This presentation is based on work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DRL-2005571. Any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this presentation are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Foundation.

# Is this made for me?

### Learning about exhibit design from youth with learning disabilities

Sunewan Paneto, Calvin Uzelmeier, Samantha Daley, Gabrielle Schlichtmann









# **Project Background + Methods**

### Who are we

- University of Rochester:
  - Samantha Daley (PI)
  - Rebecca Rosen
  - Eleni Duret
  - Beilei Guo
- EdTogether
  - Gabrielle Rappolt-Schlichtmann (Co-PI)
  - Amanda Cook
- Museum of Science, Boston
  - Rebecca "Becki" Kipling (Co-PI)
  - Sunewan Paneto
  - Sonya Harvey-Justiniano
  - Marvin "Emmett" Fung
  - Katharina Marino
  - Sara Castellucci
- Rochester Museum & Science Center
  - Calvin Uzelmeier
  - Rich Smith
  - Yasmin Jung

### **Advisory Board**

- Adam Hickey Landmark School
- Bob Cunningham Understood.org
- Amy Hurst New York University
- Janice Majewski Institute for Human Centered Design

# **Project Background**

- The informal science learning (ISL) field has committed considerable energy and resources to **improving accessibility of facilities and experiences** (Tokar, 2004), but with a with a **focus on physical and sensory aspects** (e.g., Access Smithsonian, 2019)
- Little is known about the ISL experiences of the largest group of people with disabilities in the United States: visitors identified as having **learning disabilities (LD)** Individuals with learning disabilities comprise up to 20% of the U.S. population
- Best practices for students with LD in science classes (e.g., Therrien et al., 2011) may not translate well to ISL
- Informal science learning experiences can be particularly powerful for young people with LD, who often thrive in active science learning opportunities (Abrams, Southerland, & Silva, 2007; Nadelson et al., 2013)

### **Research Questions**

- How do visitors with LD **describe their experiences** of in a variety of exhibits?
  - We focus particularly on motivation and engagement.
- What **aspects of design** are most salient in experiences among visitors with LD?

#### Rochester Museum & Science Center

#### Chemical Fingerprints

A hybrid hands-on science center and collections-rich cultural museum

Has more than 1.2 million collection items

Serves more than 378,000 visitors each year



#### Laser Communicator



#### Laser Motion



#### Museum of Science, Boston

#### River Table



#### Build a Bridge Support



#### Mystery Skulls



One of the world's largest science and technology centers.

700+ interactive exhibits across more than 110,000 sq ft of public exhibition space.

Hosts 1.4 million visitors annually on site.



# Learning from Youth with LD - Study Design

### **Descriptive Study (Phase 1)**

~30 participants (ages 10-17)

### **Experimental Study (Phase 2)** ~50 participants (ages 10-17)



- With random assignment to original or prototyped version
- Adding an identity activity

# What changes did we test?

### **Mystery Skulls**

Identify what each skull belongs to by answering questions about its observable features

 Vocabulary was sometimes difficult for participants, and negatively impacted the level of challenge



#### **Vocab Cards**

# Phase 1 changes: MOS

### **Mystery Skulls**

- Vocab cards were added to help users understand words used by scientists who study skulls
- The cards include simplified definitions, images, and actions to mimic the animal's features



**Sagittal crest** is a ridge of bone on the top of some skulls.



**Monocular** means seeing an object with each eye seperately.

Try this!	
	V/

#### On screen info





Animals with side-facing eyes have monocular vision that provides a very wide field of view.



Next

### **Build-a-Bridge**

Engineer a structure that can support a bridge in moving water

- Exhibit instructions were image-based and easy to understand
- Youth wanted to have more hands-on creative elements, and challenge



### **Build-a-Bridge**

 Updated the text and illustrations for clarity, and added animal figures to incorporate more storytelling into the activity.

#### **Original challenges**

### **Extra challenges**

Use the materials to complete the extra challenges.

Design a support that works at more than one place along the river.

Design a support that **can** hold extra weight.

Design a support that does not disrupt the flow of the river.

#### **New challenges**



#### **Engineering a River System**

Explore the trade-offs of how different engineering decisions can impact a river system

- Participants thought they were "getting it wrong"
- Instruction labels were long
- Audio button label said "read text aloud" which was potentially stigmatizing





#### **Engineering a River System**

Explore how different engineering decisions impact a river system

- Adding a subtitle to clarify the exploratory nature of the exhibit
- Simplifying instructional text
- Changing "hear audio aloud" to "Audio on/off" (in line with the rest of the MOS)



How do different types of hydroelectric dams impact the river?

- **1)** Choose a rectangular piece.
- **2)** Place the piece to produce power.





### **Exhibits: RMSC**

### LASER COMMUNICATOR

Sound transmitted through fiber optics or air to a receiver.

Too many optionsWordy label copySignificance not clear





### **Exhibits: RMSC**

### **LASER MOTION**

Adjust reflecting laser to create moving patterns.

Too many optionsUnclear navigationIntended experience not clear





### **Exhibits: RMSC**

### **CHEMICAL FINGERPRINTS**

View emission spectra from energized gases

Difficult to match patterns to gas
Wordy label copy
Significance not clear



### What have we learned?

## What have we learned and ongoing conundrums?

- Finding 1: Exhibit text created various challenges
- Finding 2: Audio was seen as beneficial
- **Finding 3:** Desire for creativity and open-ended exploration
- Finding 4: Awareness of how challenge shaped engagement

### **The Charette and Conundrums**

### **Charette Plans**

- Purpose of the charette is for youth with LD and exhibit practitioners to work together to generate a guide for the field based on the project research
- Youth will also work with research team members to create portraits of diverse youth with LD to live alongside, and inform the guide in a holistic way.
- Findings and ongoing analysis from Phase 1 and Phase 2 has uncovered a series of exhibit design "conundrums" which are areas with limited information, or conflicting interpretations.
- We plan to use the charette dive deep with youth and clarify these conundrums for the museum practitioner community.
- Help us, help you! Let's dive into the conundrums and clarify how they are framed for the charette.

### **Conundrum 1: Hands-on**

"I think they [manipulables in the exhibit] are good for motivation. They give, like, that type of vibe, type of, like, feeling that you gotta keep doing this and you gotta... It's, like, almost like a competition that way."



### **Conundrum 1: Hands-on**

• Youth were clear that they want exhibits that are hands-on. But, when designers create hands-on exhibits there are many ways to do it. And, what hands-on means to youth with LD may be different from youth without LD.

- What does the term "hands-on" mean to you as an exhibit practitioner?
- 2. What would you want to know from youth with LD to make guidance about "hands-on" actionable for you?

### **Conundrum 2: Information**

"[Having instructional images] It's much better than having, let's say, like, just the words. And for me, dyslexic, that won't just, that won't help that much."



### **Conundrum 2: Information**

- In general, youth with LD prefered to get information from videos or graphics. Redundancy (text-graphics-audio-video) seemed important.
- Youth tended to indicate text avoidance, and some were concerned about using audio for read aloud because of social stigma.

- 1. How are you thinking about the use of audio and text in exhibits at your museum?
- 2. What would you want to know from youth with LD about how different supports work (or don't work) for them?

## **Conundrum 3: Creativity**

"I felt, you know, very satisfied, you know, building and constructing, looking at a different ways testing and trial and error processes. Very creative."



# **Conundrum 3: Creativity**

- Youth with LD were clear and consistent that they prefer and learn best from exhibits where they can be creative. But, there are many ways that exhibit designers can leverage creativity in museum design.
- 1. What does the term "creative" mean to you as exhibit practitioners?
- 2. What would you want to know from youth with LD to make guidance about "creative" actionable for you?

## **Conundrum 4: Vocabulary**

"[What did you think about the vocabulary cards?] I think it was good. There wasn't, like, that many words or, like, a long paragraph, so, like, it wasn't, like, boring, I guess."



### **Conundrum 4: Vocabulary**

 Youth with LD expressed that complex science vocabulary at exhibits can be hard to understand/navigate. When given the opportunity to have support for complex vocabulary they were cautiously interested.

- How do you make choices about the vocabulary used in your exhibits?
- 2. What would you want to know from youth with LD to make STEM language accessible?

### What's Next?

- Charette with youth and practitioners this Fall
- Resources to be posted on informal science.org
- Please reach out!
  - Sunewan Paneto (<u>spaneto@mos.org</u>)
  - Calvin Uzelmeier (<u>cuzelmeier@rmsc.org</u>)
  - Sami Daley (<u>sdaley@warner.rochester.edu</u>)