A strategic outcomes framework for unified planning and evaluation: Can one size fit all?

The framework: Primary and supporting outcome selection from six depth levels per outcome category

<u> </u>		<u> </u>
Outcome Category	Less program complexity	More program complexity
Interest	Situational	Personal
Attitude	Situational	Stable
Knowledge	Declarative & Conditional	Procedural (research
		processes)
STEM Skills/Practice	Acquisition	Application
Social-Emotional	Acquisition	Application
Development/Learning &		
21st Century Skills		
Behavior	Personal	Social/Community
Building STEM Capital	Personal/Family Acquisition	Community Leadership
Career Path Activation	Acquisition	Application

To function within a planning and evaluation system:



The value:

- · Parses learning outcomes to realistically reflect contact time and experience
- Qualitative dimensions to existing categories
- Focuses program planning
- Provides overview of institutional educational intentions
- Promotes dialog about program design
- Builds evaluation capacity
- Builds practitioner capacity
- Aids in strategic planning
- Aids in communication to and collaboration with stakeholders

Next Steps: Pilot testing the ISEE System; framework revision; user manual

The challenges:

- How to structure STEM learning while challenging and desettling the nature of STEM learning.
- Where in the framework do we locate:
 - Transformational agency;
 - Social justice issues and system change
 - · STEM Identity and literacy
 - Individual and Community-level social issue impact
 - STEM activity for the greater good
- How to avoid "blindspots" and encourage constructivist learning and creative programming?
- How to make ISI learning outcomes meaningful and relevant across the full diversity of audiences.

NATIONAL MUSEUM of NATURAL **HISTORY** O Smithsonian





Conference **Contributors**

Steering Committee

Deborah Wasserman, P.I., COSI CRE Colleen Popson, Co-P.I., NMNH Kristin Lewis-Warner, PEAR Gil Noam, & Patty Allen, ISRY Jessica Takacs, COSI

Culturally Relevant & Equitable Evaluation and Design Committee:

Karyl Askew Consulting, Chair; Priva Mohabir: Jenn Fuii Anstadt

Conference Evaluators

Cat Stylinski & Karyl Askew

Content experts

Ann Renninger (interest) Cameron Denson & Mwenda Kudumu (attitude)

Tiffany Rose-Sikorsky (knowledge) Angela Calabrese-Barton (STEM skills/practice)

Dana Zeidler (21st cent skill) Joe Heimlich (behavior) Spela Godec (science capital) Robert Tai (career path)

Martin Storksdieck (research to practice) **Practitioners & Evaluators**

Andy Aichele, COSI Sarah Dunifon, Improved Insights Marlena Jones, CASE/Carnegie Science & DC STEM Network

Kathayoon Khalil, Zoo Advisors Judy Koke, Institute for Learning Innovation

Karen Lee, National Park Fdtn.

Priva Mohabir, NY Hall of Science Pino Monaco, Smithsonian Institution Karen Peterman Consulting Allison Price, Lincoln Park Zoo Jennifer Rehkamp, Assoc, of Children's

Nelda Reyes, AB Cultural Drivers Edna Tan, U of North Carolina Carrie Tzou, U Washington, Bothell Latasha Wright, BioBus



