Parent-Child Narrative Reflections about Engineering Projects Made in a Children's Museum



Patrick J. Palmer, Lauren C. Pagano, & Catherine A. Haden Loyola University Chicago

Supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1516541



INTRODUCTION

- Narrative reflections can improve children's memory and learning (Haden et. al. 2016).
- This study focused on narrative reflections families recorded shortly after they visited the Tinkering Lab exhibit at Chicago Children's Museum.
- They recorded their narrative reflections in a multi-media station called Story Hub.
- Some families brought the projects they had made in Tinkering Lab with them into Story Hub.
 We asked if families who had their project with them engaged in more STEM-related talk and associations to prior and future experiences than those who did not.
 We focus on STEM talk and associations because these kinds of talk can support children's learning and STEM understanding.

CODING

- The Story Hub narrative reflections were coded for the presence of associations.
- The narrative reflections were also coded for the presence of talk about tools, engineering, and math. These scores were combined into a STEM composite score.

Code	Definition	Example
ASSOCIATIONS	Reference to prior knowledge or future plans.	"We got to wear goggles like real workers do."
MATH	Quantity, comparisons, length, weight, height, etc.	"I used three nails." "I cut it shorter."
TOOLS	Labelling specific tools, describing functions of tools.	"I used tools goggles, hot glue gun, and scissors."
ENGINEERING	Planning, brainstorming, figuring things out, testing, redesigning.	"I tested it on the ramp." "It didn't work the first time."

PARTICIPANTS

- A total of 251 families recorded narrative reflection about their experiences in Tinkering Lab.
- Children were between the ages of 6-11 (M = 8.2) years old.
- 131 families had one or more male children (52%); 188 families had one or more female children (75%).
- The sample was 68% Caucasian.
- 97 families (39%) had their project with them in Story Hub, and 154 (61%) did not.

RESULTS

- As shown in **Figure 2**, associations to prior or future experiences were made by a higher percentage of families who brought their projects with them to Story Hub, compared to those who did not, $X^2 = 10.37$, p < .01.
- As shown in Figure 3, the mean number of categories of STEM-related talk mentioned (math, tools, engineering, for a max score of 3) in families' reflections was higher for those with than without their project in Story Hub, t(249) = 2.545, p = .01.

Figure 2. Percentage of Families Making Associations

Figure 3. Mean Number of STEM Categories Discussed (max. = 3)

METHODS

- In Tinkering Lab (Figure 1a), families built projects using a variety of tools and materials.
- In Story Hub (Figure 1b), families chose to video-record their narrative reflections about their Tinkering Lab experience.
- Some families brought their Tinkering Lab projects into Story Hub and utilized them during their reflections (Figure 1c).



Figure 1a Tinkering Lab (above), 1b

Story Hub (bottom right), and **1c**

Tinkering Lab project (top right).







DISCUSSION

Telling narrative reflections with concrete objects – in this case the projects families made in Tinkering Lab – supported STEM-related talk and association to prior and future experiences.
It may be that the projects provided additional supports for talking about the novel activities, and making appreciate of the experiences including connecting it to prior knowledge (appreciations).

